

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE		FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.		
09/845,284 05/01/2001		5/01/2001	Chiori Mochizuki	33.C15333	3067		
5514	7590	12/02/2003		EXAM	EXAMINER		
FITZPATRI		LA HARPER & S	SEFER, A	SEFER, AHMED N			
NEW YORK, NY 10112				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
				2826			

DATE MAILED: 12/02/2003

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

n			1hv					
	Application No.	Applicant(s)						
	09/845,284	MOCHIZUKI ET AL.						
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit						
	A. Sefer	2826						
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address								
Period for Reply	/10.05T TO TVD105 * 1.00VT							
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be time within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day; all apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ARANDONE.	nely filed s will be considered timely, the mailing date of this cor	nmunication.					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 29 Au	ıgust 2003.							
	action is non-final.							
3) Since this application is in condition for allowar closed in accordance with the practice under E	ice except for formal matters, pro	esecution as to the l	merits is					
Disposition of Claims	, , ,							
4) Claim(s) <u>1,3-11,16-18 and 22-37</u> is/are pending	in the application.							
4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>6-8,16-18 and 22-37</u>	• •	ion.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.								
6) Claim(s) $1.3-5$ and $9-11$ is/are rejected.	6) Claim(s) 1,3-5 and 9-11 is/are rejected.							
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.								
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.							
Application Papers								
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner								
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.								
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).								
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction								
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Exa	aminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form PTC)-152.					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120								
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of:	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a))-(d) or (f).						
1. Certified copies of the priority documents								
2. Certified copies of the priority documents3. Copies of the certified copies of the priori	have been received in Application	on No	.					
application from the International Bureau		d in this National S	tage					
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of	of the certified copies not received							
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic since a specific reference was included in the first	spriority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) I sentence of the specification or	e) (to a provisional a in an Application D	ipplication)					
37 CFR 1.78.			ala Sileet.					
a) The translation of the foreign language prov								
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic reference was included in the first sentence of the	priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 personal specification or in an Application or in an Application	and/or 121 since a n Data Sheet. 37 C	specific FR 1.78.					
Attachment(s)								
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary ((PTO-413) Paper No(s).						
 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) 	5) Notice of Informal Pa	atent Application (PTO-1						
-/omideon bisclosure otatement(s) (F10-1449) Faper No(s)	6) 🔲 Other: .							

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office

Application/Control Number: 09/845,284

Art Unit: 2826

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Amendment

1. The amendment filed on August 29, 2003 has been entered; no new claims have been added.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- 2. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
 - The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 3. Claim 1 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

The limitation "said slice check wire ... being disposed on a first wire layer among a plurality of wire layers on said substrate" recited in claim 1 is not fully disclosed in the specification to enable one skilled in the art to make and/or use the invention. Without this information it would take undue experimentation to make and use the claimed invention.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

4. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States
- 5. Claims 1, 5 and 9-11, as understood, are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Funakoshi et al (JP 9-289181).

Funakoshi et al disclose in figs. 7-14 a photoelectric converter device having a plurality

Art Unit: 2826

of pixels 301 formed on a substrate or insulating substrate (as in claim 9) comprising a slice check wire 304 for checking acceptability of a cut edge of said substrate, said slice check wire arranged outside a region where said pixels are arranged and being disposed on a first wire layer among a plurality of wire layers on said substrate.

Regarding claim 5, Funakoshi et al disclose (see par. 0085 of equivalent US PG-Pub 2003/0079583) a pad section (not shown).

As to the said wire being used for checking electrical conductivity recited in claim 5, an intended use of a claimed invention must result in a structural difference between the claimed invention and the prior art in order to patentably distinguish the claimed invention from the prior art. If the prior art structure is capable of performing the intended use, then it meets the claim. In a claim drawn to a process of making, the intended use must result in a manipulative difference as compared to the prior art. See In re Casey, 152 USPQ 235 (CCPA 1967) and In re Otto, 136 USPQ 458, 459 (CCPA 1963).

As to claims 10 and 11, Funakoshi et al disclose in fig. 17 a fluorescent wavelength converter Csl.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 6. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Application/Control Number: 09/845,284

Art Unit: 2826

7. Claims 3 and 4 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Funakoshi et al.

Funakoshi et al disclose the device structure as recited in the claim, but do not specifically disclose a constant or ground potential. However, it would have been obvious to one skilled in the art at the time the invention was made to connect a wire for checking acceptability of cutting of a substrate to a constant or ground potential, since that would prevent a voltage drop which could interfere with inspection process.

Conclusion

- 8. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Watanbe et al. USPN 6,586,769 disclose a liquid crystal panel with TFTs and photoelectric conversion apparatus.
- 9. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Application/Control Number: 09/845,284

Art Unit: 2826

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to A. Sefer whose telephone number is (703) 605-1227.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Nathan Flynn can be reached on (703) 308-6601.

ANS

December 1, 2003

, eletinen -De venemezene

TECHNOLOGY